

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/543,142	03/30/2006	Othmar Gaidosch	23344	4103
THE FIRM OF KARL F ROSS 5676 RIVERDALE AVENUE PO BOX 900 RIVERDALE (BRONX), NY 10471-0900			EXAMINER _.	
			VU, HIEN D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2833	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 02/09/2007 PAPER		PER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/543,142	GAIDOSCH, OTHMAR		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Hien D. Vu	2833		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		•		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 No. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9 is/are withdrawn fro 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access applicant may not request that any objection to the or	relection requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	-	• •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) ⊠ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te		

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of species 1, Figs. 1-8, claims 1-8 in the reply filed on 11/15/06 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are objected to because in claims 1-8, the terms "characterized in that" are confusing and unclear; claim 3, line 5, the features "the electrical connection ... by a contacting member" are unclear what being claimed.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- 4. (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1 and 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Frantz et al (4960388).

Insofar as the claims can be understood, Frantz, Figs. 16 show a plug having individual parts 10,12,14, at least a metal casing 14, at least one conductor W of a cable C is connectable with one contact 42, a shielding BS, and a separate contact element 90 that forms the electrical connection between the casing and the shielding.

As to claim 3, Frantz shows shielding of the cable being electrically connected with the housing part 12 of the plug.

As to claim 4, Frantz shows the contact 42 has at least two press-fit arms (not labeled).

As to claim 5, Frantz shows the press-fit arms are fixable at least partially in one wire holder 52.

Application/Control Number: 10/543,142 Page 3

Art Unit: 2833

As to claim 6, Frantz shows an end of the conductor W being poked between the press-fit arms.

As to claim 7, Frantz shows the press-fit arms extended generally axially of the plug.

As to claim 8, Frantz shows the wire holder 10 has several conductor seats that positioned symmetrically around a longitudinal axis.

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frantz et al (4960388) in view of Nattel et al (6034325).

Frantz does not show the shielding of the cable being formed as an iris spring.

Nattel, Figs.1 shows a shielding 60 of a cable 104 being an iris spring. It would have been obvious to one with skill in the art to modify the connector of Frantz by forming the shielding of the cable with an iris spring, as taught by Nattel, in order to achieve better shielding for the cable.

- 8. Michaels et al, Rupp et al, Chiu, Holman, Nakata, and Goett et al are cited for disclosure of shield cable connectors.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Hien D. Vu at telephone number 571-272-2016.

Page 4

HV

2/3/07

HIEN VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Him Un